JOURNAL OF ADVANCED LINGUISTIC STUDIES
VOL. 13, NO. 2, JUL-DEC 2026 – PART 2 (ISSN 2231-4075)

Language, Power and Psychological Identity: A Pragmatic Analysis of Female Characters in Sidney Sheldon's Novels

MUKHAMMADJONOVA AZIZA

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to determine the connection between language, interpersonal power, and identity psychology of female characters in selected novels by Sidney Sheldon. Although there is much research carried out on Sheldon's female characters in terms of gender roles, women's power, moral values, and psychological evolution, this study will examine the female characters of Sidney Sheldon from a pragmatic point of view. In other words, the current research will analyze pragmatic means used by female characters in The Other Side of Midnight*,* Master of the Game*,* If Tomorrow Comes*, and* Tell Me Your Dreams *in the form of speech acts, directives, refusals, evaluative utterances, modality, politeness or impoliteness, irony, etc. In order to recognize patterns in the language of characters and analyze the way they create, negotiate, oppose, and uphold power relationships, a qualitative close-reading approach will be used in this study. The study posits that pragmatic choices made by Sheldon are not merely modes of communication but very important tools that he uses to construct psychological identity. Changes in the characters from being compliant to resistant, from emotionally dependent to verbally assertive and indirect to confrontational are indicative of changes in their psychological positions. The results show that language can be a very dynamic way of indicating both interpersonal power relationship and psychological development, thus showing the ways that female characters construct their identity in unequal social and personal relationships.*

Keywords: Pragmatics, language and power, psychological identity, female characters, Sidney Sheldon, speech acts, politeness, impoliteness, psychological characterization.

INTRODUCTION

One of the main tools used by fictional characters to express their identity is language. In the discourse of literature, the way that characters speak does not serve only as a vehicle of information or an instrument for developing the plot. Rather, it might be a reflection of their emotional state, attitude towards other characters, intent, social status, and power dynamics. The pragmatic approach to understanding meaning implies not only the semantics of the message being conveyed but also the communicative intent of the speaker, the relationship of interlocutors, and the social environment of the conversation.

Language and power are two aspects that have attracted a great deal of attention in linguistics and discourse studies. Power can be made manifest by commands, threats, and assertion, but it can also be negotiated using politeness, indirectness, silence, evaluation, irony, and other pragmatic moves. In face-to-face interaction, one uses language not only to establish authority and resist dominance, but also to manipulate, maintain self-concept, and negotiate new positions within a relation. The use of language for these reasons is especially important in characterizing women, because female identity in literature depends on how they react to social demands and power inequalities.

The novels of Sidney Sheldon constitute a rich source of study for these processes. Women in his novels are often put in a position where they experience emotional stress, social pressures, ambitions, manipulation, vulnerability, and resistance. This includes, for example, Noelle Page from *The Other Side of Midnight*, Kate Blackwell from *Master of the Game*, Tracy Whitney from *If Tomorrow Comes*, and Ashley Patterson, Toni Prescott, and Alette Peters from *Tell Me Your Dreams*. These people show various kinds of psychological intricacy and interaction with one another. They do not have fixed identities

because they evolve in the course of their conflicts and interaction with other people. Thus, the language used by these people is very important to analyze them.

Research that has been done on the topic of women in Sheldon's fiction has approached female characters from such angles as female agency, gender portrayal, moral development, psychological conflicts, and psychoanalysis. This kind of research has provided many insights into the complexity of female characters created by Sheldon. Nonetheless, relatively little work has been done on the study of linguistic and pragmatic tools that the characters use in order to create and resist power relations within interpersonal communication. In addition, there is a need to look at the relationship between pragmatics and the psychological identity of female characters.

This research is an attempt to bridge this gap by using pragmatic tools to analyze female characters in novels written by Sidney Sheldon. The analysis is concerned with speech acts, directives, refusals, evaluations, modality, politeness and impoliteness, irony, and other pragmatic aspects of inter-personal interaction. Such linguistic concepts are analyzed in terms of power relationships and psychological identity to find out the role that verbal behavior plays in building and re-building female characters.

These are the research questions that guide the study:

1. What are the pragmatic strategies employed by female characters in novels written by Sidney Sheldon?
2. How do these strategies build, negotiate, or subvert interpersonal power?
3. How do the characters' language use reveal their psychological makeup and emotions? and
4. How does the pragmatic patterning shift as the characters experience psychological changes?

Through the combination of pragmatic approach to literary analysis and the examination of the psychology of characterization, this research attempts to prove the point that the language used is not just a means of conveying the fiction. It is

also an important tool for the representation of psychological agency, conflict, identity creation and transformation. As a result, this research provides the linguistic aspect to the analysis of female characterization in Sheldon's fiction.

METHODOLOGY

This research uses qualitative pragmatics to explore how language creates interpersonal power and psychological identity of the female characters in selected novels by Sidney Sheldon. Qualitative design was deemed suitable for the research since it involved the investigation of contextual meanings and communication functions of the characters' speech rather than the statistical occurrence of specific linguistic items. Pragmatic interpretation alongside the textual analysis is used to build a relationship between linguistic activity, interpersonal relations, and psychological portraits.

The main corpus includes four novels written by Sidney Sheldon and they include *The Other Side of Midnight*, *Master of the Game*, *If Tomorrow Comes*, and *Tell Me Your Dreams*. These novels were chosen because they feature female characters who are different from each other in terms of psychological make-up, social conditions, and interaction pattern. The main characters that will be analyzed in this study include Noelle Page, Kate Blackwell, Tracy Whitney, Ashley Patterson, Toni Prescott, and Alette Peters.

These data have been sampled purposefully from those paragraphs where female characters are involved in substantial social transactions. More importantly, emphasis has been placed on such dialogues that involve social conflicts, negotiations, persuasion, rejection, emotional confrontations, decision making, manipulation, and acts of dominance or resistance. These utterances were analyzed in the immediate contexts of the conversations and narratives, because pragmatic meanings can only be derived based on the relationship of the people speaking and the context of the situation.

The analysis of the data was done using pragmatic categories. The first category analyzed included the speech act

types that were mostly the assertives, directives, expressives and commissives in an effort to establish what the characters achieved through their speech acts. The second category involved the analysis of politeness and impoliteness strategies to discover how characters managed to maintain, threaten or negotiate interpersonal face. The third category involved refusals, threats, evaluatives, irony, modality and indirectness as linguistic tools used to exercise or resist interpersonal power.

The analysis entailed four steps. In the first step, appropriate conversation episodes were detected using the method of close reading of the selected novels. The next step was the classification of the utterances based on the main pragmatic function and linguistic technique used by the speakers. Then, the pragmatic aspects were interpreted in terms of the power relationship between the speakers. Lastly, these results were related to the psychological aspects of the female characters. Thus, one could say that the analysis proceeded along the following chain: linguistic structure – pragmatic technique – power relationship – psychological identity – character transformation.

However, the study is not concerned with fiction as a direct imitation of real-life conversations. Characters' speech acts are viewed as constructed components of literary discourse, and their pragmatic value is analyzed as communicative action as well as a means used by the author in creating character psychology. Such an approach makes it possible to analyze how Sheldon uses the linguistic construction of female characters to create agency, emotion, dominance, resistance, and character transformation.

RESULTS

The results show that the female characters of selected novels of Sidney Sheldon make use of language as an instrument that is used by them for negotiating interpersonal relations and expressing psychological identity. Pragmatic patterns found in the corpus reveal that female characters' speech is influenced by their psychological identity, the level of power they have at their disposal and the type of relation they maintain with the other

characters. Four patterns were found which are closely connected with each other: use of assertive language for establishing self-positioning, use of directive and confrontational language for exerting power, use of indirectness and evaluative language for managing interpersonal relations, and changes in pragmatic behavior revealing psychological identity.

The use of assertive phrases is one of the notable characteristics of the speech of the characters. Expressions that are used for asserting, evaluating and stating one's own position are ways of communication through which the female characters express their positions and show their independence as participants in interpersonal communication. This is especially important in cases when the character is subjected to being controlled, judged or manipulated by others. Instead of acting only as informative statements, assertions can be treated as acts of positioning oneself. The speaker treats her own interpretation of the situation as a valid one and thus questions the power of the interlocutor.

Directing and confrontational methods make up another significant pattern. Commands, demands, interrogative phrases that direct the listener, threatening statements, and other kinds of directing speech acts are used in competitive or power-unequal situations. The role of these speech acts goes far beyond that of the initial demand or command, since they create hierarchy between the participants in the interaction. Hence, when it comes to depicting characters like Kate Blackwell and Noelle Page, verbal control can be regarded as an outward expression of psychological strength, ambition, defensiveness, or the need for control over the situation in general. On the other hand, confrontational speech acts can serve as acts of resistance when females answer domination.

Additionally, the analysis highlights the significance of evaluative language and impoliteness in building power in interpersonal relationships. Evaluations, criticism, insults, and certain linguistic forms may damage the interlocutor's positive face but reinforce the speaker's position at the same time. In this case, impoliteness is not just a breach of conversational rules. It can be seen as a pragmatic strategy whereby the character shows

superiority, rejects someone else's authority, or manifests aggression. Therefore, evaluative language becomes a link between linguistic means and psychological characteristics.

As opposed to this, indirectness and politeness can often serve as means of dealing with vulnerability and exercising control in interactions without any sort of direct conflict. Characters may resort to indirectness of speech because of the social implications of direct communication being too great to handle. This is a good example of how power does not have to be manifested in overtly dominating speech. Ability to control the level of explicitness in speech becomes pragmatic agency on its own.

Another conclusion is connected with the connection of pragmatism and psychological change. The pragmatic behavior of the women characters is determined by their growing psychological status. Women characters who were shown initially to be uncertain, dependent, emotionally unstable, or lacking in linguistic authority can become aggressive and challenging in their ways of communicating. On the contrary, women characters who identify themselves with power can use linguistic tools which will preserve their power and authority. Therefore, pragmatic behavior is seen here as an index of character development.

Tell Me Your Dreams is another work that also reveals the importance of variation in linguistic identity. Different characters of Ashley Patterson, Toni Prescott, and Alette Peters can be analyzed in terms of differences in their communication style, interpersonal position, and emotional expression. The linguistic characteristics play an important role in constructing psychological identities of the characters and reveal that variations in speaking styles may be correlated with changes in psychological state.

In all the selected novels, then, the findings reveal a pattern that links linguistic choice with pragmatic strategy, power, and identity. Assertive language favors self-positioning, directives and confrontational strategies enable the practice of power or resistance to power, evaluation and impoliteness escalate interpersonal conflict, whereas indirection and politeness help

manage relational vulnerability and social constraints. Perhaps most crucially, shifts in such pragmatic patterns coincide with stages in psychological development. Language thus operates in Sheldon's works both as a communicative device and as a signifier of psychological change.

DISCUSSION

It is clear from the findings that the pragmatics of the female characters in novels by Sidney Sheldon is related to the process of creation and negotiation of psychological identity. These findings confirm the idea that fictive dialogue can be considered as social action where, apart from exchanging information, the characters build relationships, occupy positions, question authorities, and react to interpersonal pressures. It means that the language of the female characters in the books by Sidney Sheldon gains importance as it is used for communicative purposes and formation of character psychology.

One of the most important aspects identified is that of the connection between assertive speech and the positioning of the speaker psychologically. In cases where the speaker states opinions, judgment, and personal choices, it becomes clear that the speaker is establishing his/her right to speak out and take a certain position. This becomes especially true when the individual is faced with outside pressures and control. Thus, the concept of assertiveness is not only a linguistic issue but a manifestation of growing psychological independence.

Directives and threatening language also serve as examples that prove that the nature of power is interactive and dynamic in character. A command, demand, threat, or question can be used by one interlocutor to force another to perform some actions; however, the success of such language means will depend on the interlocutors' relations and the speaker's psychological state. In Sheldon's novels, the female characters use directives for both exercising their authority and for resisting the imposed authority. Thus, one linguistic form can acquire a variety of pragmatic meanings depending on the situation.

The importance of politeness and impoliteness is equally high. Politeness can help characters to maintain relations, avoid conflict where it is not necessary, or regulate social distance, while impoliteness can serve as a tool of destroying social image of an interlocutor and boosting the power of the speaker. In this respect, face management turns out to be tightly connected with psychological characterization. Repeated use of verbal attacks by a character can show his/her confrontative, defensive, controlling, and emotionally aggressive nature, while politeness or indirectness as strategic behavior can show caution, social sensitivity, vulnerability, or controlled nature of a character.

The conclusions are also suggesting that it is not right to consider power solely as domination. There could also be indirect power, silence, controlled reactions, and certain evaluations. It is not necessary for a character to give any orders or make threats in order to affect the course of an interaction. The capacity to remain silent, to refuse compliance, to steer an exchange in another direction or come up with a seemingly polite yet meaningful reply is also a factor that could affect interpersonal power relations. This is especially true regarding the portrayal of women as characters since the concept of agency could be detected in such types of communication.

One significant implication from the study is the idea of psychological transformation. The pragmatic categories revealed in the study provide an insight into the possibility of examining character growth through pragmatic behavior changes. For instance, as a result of experiencing various events like confrontation, loss, betrayal, social pressure, or personal discoveries, the speech behavior of a character might undergo certain transformations, including more directness, evaluative behavior, refusal and self-positioning. In other words, pragmatic variability opens up the linguistic aspect of psychological transformation.

The analysis of *Tell Me Your Dreams* also provides an illustration of the relationship between linguistic behaviour and differentiated psychological identity. The different communicative styles of Ashley Patterson, Toni Prescott, and Alette Peters reveal how linguistic variation may serve as a

means for creating a psychologically differentiated identity in the same narrative construction. Instead of seeing their differences simply as factors in the plot or in the psychological description, the pragmatic approach makes it possible to see their differences in terms of patterns of interaction, verbal positioning, and communicative behavior.

These results build on earlier research of Sheldon's female characters that focused on what these characters represented rather than on how language construction helped form that representation. Research into gender, female agency, the moral growth of these characters, or the conflicts within their psyches is valuable in understanding the fiction of Sheldon, but it also becomes clear that pragmatic research shows that all these issues are embedded in linguistic behavior of characters.

This study hence indicates that pragmatic analysis of literary characterizations is enhanced when there is an integration between pragmatics and psychology. It is seen that the identity of women in Sheldon’s novels is achieved through the interplay of form, intentionality, interpersonal power and psychological development. Pragmatic analysis neither replaces literary nor psychological analysis but complements it by illustrating how social and psychological meanings are achieved through language. This approach provides a better understanding of how psychological identity of women is created through language in contemporary popular literature.

CONCLUSION

The present study has focused on the connection between language, interpersonal power, and psychological identity as regards the portrayal of female characters in chosen novels by Sidney Sheldon. Using a qualitative pragmatic analysis method, it has been shown that the choice of language by characters does not serve only as the instrument of communication but is also a major tool for characterization. Such speech acts as assertiveness, directives, refusals, evaluative speech, politeness, impoliteness, indirectness, and others reflect certain characteristics of characters’ psychological identities.

The results show that power in the fiction of Sheldon is created by means of linguistic behavior which is direct and indirect. The female characters display power in the form of commands, face threats, appraisals, refusal acts, and direct positioning whereas the indirectness, controlled behavior, and tactful politeness are the alternate means of interpersonal agency for women. The linguistic pragmatic analysis shows that female agency is not confined only to visible actions; it is also represented through language use by the characters to position themselves in relationships.

In addition to this, the study reveals the fact that pragmatics evolves in parallel with the evolution of psyche. Any change in direction towards more assertive, direct language, refusals or self-positioning is indicative of the change in one's psychological agency and identity. Thus, language becomes another important linguistic dimension through which character evolution can be traced.

The present research is valuable to the linguistic investigation of literary discourse in terms of combining pragmatic analysis and character psychology. The present research proves that the language used in describing the characters created by Sheldon is a very important means of constructing power, agency, vulnerability, resistance, and psychological transformation. This line of research could be developed further by employing quantitative analysis of corpora or pragmatic comparison of female characters by other authors.

REFERENCES

1. Austin, J. L. 1962. *How to Do Things with Words*. Oxford University Press.
2. Brown, P. & Levinson, S. C. 1987. *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Culpeper, J. 1996. Towards an anatomy of impoliteness. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 25/3, 349-367.
4. Fairclough, N. 1989. *Language and Power*. Longman.
5. Searle, J. R. 1969. *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge University Press.

6. Sheldon, S. 1973. *The Other Side of Midnight*. Warner Books.
7. Sheldon, S. 1982. *Master of the Game*. William Morrow and Company.
8. Sheldon, S. 1985. *If Tomorrow Comes.* William Morrow and Company.
9. Sheldon, S. 1998. *Tell Me Your Dreams*. William Morrow.
10. Spencer-Oatey, H. 2008. *Culturally Speaking: Culture, Communication and Politeness Theory*. 2nd ed. Continuum.
11. van Dijk, T. A. 1993. Principles of critical discourse analysis. *Discourse & Society*, 4/2, 249-283.

MUKHAMMADJONOVA AZIZA
ORCID: HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0009-0006-4860-1085.
E-MAIL: <MUKHAMMADJONOVAAZIZA@GMAIL.COM>